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#### Abstract

Diploid $(2 n=18)$ and tetraploid $(2 n=36)$ races of Grayia brandegei differ in phenotype and geographic distribution. Diploids have narrow, linear leaves and mostly are restricted to south-central Utah and northeastern Arizona. Tetraploids are larger statured, bear larger, more oval leaves and mostly occur in isolated populations in northeastern Utah, south-central Wyoming, eastern Colorado, and northwestern New Mexico. Because of their morphological and distributional differences, the tetraploid form is designated as a new variety, Grayia brandegei var plummeri.


Grayia brandegei was described by Asa Gray (1876) from specimens obtained by Brandegee on the banks of the San Juan River in Utah, near the border of Colorado. It differs from the only other species in the genus, G. spinosa Moq., in several morphological features and geographic distribution. Whereas G. spinosa has a widespread distribution throughout western United States, G. brandegei is limited mostly to the drainage of the Colorado River in eastern Utah and adjacent states. Their ranges overlap in several places and appear to be separated primarily by edaphic differences.

Grayia brandegei usually grows on heavy clay soils or sandy loams, but is not restricted to the Chipeta formation, as suggested by Collotzi (1966). Like most other shrubs of the Chenopodiaceae, except Atriplex, it lacks Kranz-type leaf anatomy and presumably has the $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ photosynthetic pathway. Contrary to previous reports, it is monoecious rather than dioecious. Leaves appear in early April, before flowering, and abscise in late summer.

Some populations of G. brandegei contain large robust plants with broad, oval leaves, whereas others have smaller-statured plants with narrow, linear leaves. To determine the basis for interpopulational differences, comparative morphological and cytological studies were

Table 1. Locations of Populations of Grayia brandegei Examined for Chromosome Number and Morphological Features. Soil properties were examined for those populations marked with *.

made on plants that grow in each of 19 populations distributed throughout the range of $G$. brandegei.

## Methods

Chromosome counts were made from microsporocytes in male buds taken from plants in natural populations or from mitotic cells in root tips taken from actively growing seedlings that were germinated on blotter paper in Petri dishes. In each case, the tissues were fixed in aceto-alcohol ( $1: 3, \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{v}$ ) and stained in aceto-carmine for microscopic examination. Numbers were determined for at least two plants in each of the 19 populations listed in Table 1.

Measurements of plant height and width, and leaf length and width were made on 20 plants in each population. Because the plants are mostly iso-diametric, plant volume was determined by plant height $\times \pi$ (plant diameter $/ 2)^{2}$. Plants measured were taken at random at intervals of six feet along a linear transect through each population. Each leaf measured was the largest leaf on a randomly selected twig taken from each plant. Morphological characteristics were compared between ploidy levels using one-way ANOVA (Table 2). Voucher specimens from each population are deposited at Brigham Young University (BRY).

Soil samples were obtained from the site within each population by mixing together five shovelfuls of soil taken at six-foot intervals in a randomly selected linear transect. Cations of the soils were

Table 2. Mean and Standard Deviations of Plant Stature and Leaf Dimensions of Diploid ( $\mathrm{n}=140$ ) and Tetraploid ( $\mathrm{n}=240$ ) Forms of Grayia brandegei. Measurements are from 20 plants in each of the populations listed in Table 1. All values significant at $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ except when indicated.

|  | $2 n$ | $4 n$ | $\mathrm{~F}(\mathrm{df}=378)$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plant ht. $(\mathrm{cm})$ | $31.23 \pm 10.14$ | $37.03 \pm 13.35$ | 19.78 |
| Plant $\mathrm{w} .(\mathrm{cm})$ | $71.21 \pm 28.28$ | $84.46 \pm 33.59$ | 15.41 |
| Plant vol. $\left(\mathrm{dm}^{3}\right)$ | $122.22 \pm 97.21$ | $307.66 \pm 263.04$ | 13.69 |
| Leaf l. $(\mathrm{mm})^{\text {ns }}$ | $34.86 \pm 10.17$ | $33.87 \pm 13.04$ | 0.60 |
| Leaf w. $(\mathrm{mm})$ | $3.83 \pm 1.47$ | $9.13 \pm 5.21$ | 138.62 |
| Leaf $1 / \mathrm{w}$ ratio | $9.59 \pm 2.27$ | $4.18 \pm 1.67$ | 708.21 |

analyzed in a Perkin Elmer 5000 Atomic Absorption Spectrophotometer. A saturated water extract was used for the analysis of the macronutrients $\mathrm{Ca}, \mathrm{Mg}, \mathrm{K}$, and Na . The micronutrients $\mathrm{Cu}, \mathrm{Fe}, \mathrm{Mn}$, and Zn were extracted in DTPA. P content was determined colorimetrically from a $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ extract. Na absorption ratio (SAR) was calculated from the values obtained for $\mathrm{Na}, \mathrm{Ca}$, and Mg . Cation exchange capacity (CEC) was determined by the ammonia distillation method. Texture was determined by the hydrometer method.

## Results

Cytological studies showed that plants in the population first collected by Brandegee in southeastern Utah near Ismay Trading Post were diploid with $2 n=18$ chromosomes. Diploid chromosome counts also were obtained from plants in six other populations (Table 1). In each population, the plants had narrow, linear leaves (Fig. 1, Table 2). In 12 other populations of $G$. brandegei, plants were more robust and had considerably wider leaves (Figs. 1, 2, 3, and Table 2). Those individuals examined were found to be tetraploid with $2 n=36$.

Tetraploid populations are more widely distributed than diploid populations (Fig. 3). Putative diploid populations, in which the plants are small-statured and bear narrow, linear leaves, are found along the San Juan River in southeastern Utah. Putative tetraploid populations, in which the plants are larger statured and bear wider leaves, are common in central and northeastern Utah, northwestern New Mexico and northwestern Colorado.

The only known population of $G$. brandegei outside the Colorado River drainage is located west of Sterling, Sanpete County, Utah. This sizeable tetraploid population is growing on Tertiary, Green River clays that are locally abundant, but are otherwise rare in the Great Basin drainage of Utah. This geological formation is common


Fig. 1. Twigs of diploid (a, b) and tetraploid (c, d) Grayia brandegei. a. AZ, Apache Co., 16 km n . of Many Farms. b. UT, San Juan Co., $6 \mathrm{~km} \mathrm{n} .\mathrm{of} \mathrm{Montezuma} \mathrm{Creek}$. c. WY, Carbon Co., 3 km nw. of Baggs. d. UT, Uintah Co., 18 km e . of Roosevelt.
in the northern portion of the Colorado Plateau, and some of the tetraploid populations in eastern Utah and western Colorado occur on it.
All macro- and micronutrients among populations noted in Table 1 showed no significant differences. Similarly $\mathrm{pH}, \mathrm{CEC}, \mathrm{SAR}$, and soil texture showed no significant differences.

ANOVA for between-population differences for plant height and width and leaf length and width of 12 tetraploid populations were all significant ( F -test, $\mathrm{p}<0.0001$ ).

## Discussion

The distribution pattern of diploid and tetraploid populations of G. brandegei in Utah, Wyoming, Colorado, Arizona and New Mexico suggests that the tetraploids were derived from the diploids. Because there are no other species that appear to have been involved in their origin, tetraploids are probably autotetraploids. Because of the conspicuous inter-populational differences among them, they probably arose polyphyletically.
Repeated establishment of autotetraploid populations from diploid progenitors could be best explained as originating via triploids. Occasional triploids, arising in a diploid population from fertiliza-


Fig. 2. Leaves of diploid (a-f) and tetraploid (g-l) Grayia brandegei. a. UT, Grand Co., 8 km nw . of Moab. b. UT, San Juan Co., 6 km n . of Montezuma Creek. c. UT, San Juan Co., 16 km s. of Blanding. d. CO, Mesa Co., 16 km w. of Mack. e. AZ, Apache Co., 16 km n. of Many Farms. f. UT, San Juan Co., 1 km w. of Ismay Trading Post. g. UT, Uintah Co., 18 km e. of Roosevelt. h. WY, Carbon Co., 3 km nw . of Baggs. i. UT, Sanpete Co., 10 km nw. of Sterling. j. UT, Emery Co., 16 km e. of Fremont Jct. k. CO, Garfield Co., 12 km nw . of Rifle. 1. UT, Kane Co., 30 km s. of Cannonville.
tion of unreduced gametes, could generate bursts of additional triploids and tetraploids, because most aneuploid gametes from triploids are expected to fail. Progeny from triploids, therefore, would be diploids, triploids and tetraploids. The diploids would breed normally; the tetraploids would leave only triploid progeny; the triploids would again leave diploids, triploids and tetraploids. Consequently, there could arise, from an occasional triploid, self-accelerating bursts of triploids and tetraploids until sufficient tetraploids occurred to permit autonomous perpetuation.

Tetraploid plants of $G$. brandegei differ from diploids phenotyp-


Fig. 3. Distribution of verified diploid and tetraploid forms of Grayia brandegei. Symbols: $O=2 X, \bigcirc=4 X$.
ically, particularly in stature and leaf-width, and have different geographic distributions, and so we propose them as a distinct variety.

Grayia brandegei A. Gray var. plummeri Stutz and Sanderson, var. nov.

Similis var. brandegei sed foliis latioribus, plantis altioribus, latioribus et tetraploideis differt, chromosomatum numerus $2 n=36$.

Erect shrub 6-14 dm high, 2.4-6 dm broad; branches erect or ascending, densely and finely pubescent. Leaf blades elliptic, ovate, obovate or oblanceolate, $2.5-6 \mathrm{~cm}$ long, $5-22 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, apex obtuse or rounded. Leaf-anatomy non-Kranz. Heterodichogamously monotecious. Male inflorescence glomerulate, the glomerules borne in axils of leaves or small bracts; perianth 4-(5) parted, segments membranaceous, obovate; stamens 4(5), filaments subulate, anthers didymous, included. Female inflorescence paniculate, the flowers borne in axils of leaves or small bracts; bibracteolate, bractlets $5-6 \mathrm{~mm}$ wide, orbicular, completely united, margins extended into two wings $4-8 \mathrm{~mm}$ broad; perianth lacking; stigmas 2 , filiform; utricle orbicular, compressed, included in the two bracts; pericarp membranaceous, free. Seed orbicular, $2-4 \mathrm{~mm}$ broad, erect; testa thin, membranaceous; embryo annular; endosperm copious; radicle inferior. Chromosome number: $2 n=36$.

Type: USA, UTAH, Duchesne Co.: ca. 18 km e . of Roosevelt, 31 Aug 1984, Stutz 9325 (Holotype: BRY).

Paratypes (all deposited in BRY): USA, CO, Garfield Co.: ca. 12 km nw. of Rifle, 18 Aug 1979, Stutz 8478. Moffat Co.: Sand Wash near Dugout Draw, T10N R97W S28, 31 Aug 1982, Parks 908. Rio Blanco Co.: 25 km e. of Rangely on US 64, 7 Jun 1965, Collotzi 551. NM, San Juan Co.: Angel's Peak badlands, ca. 20 km se. of Bloomfield, 5 Jun 1985, Stutz 9438. UT, Daggett Co.: East Grindstone Spr., e. end of Antelope Flat, T3N R22E S24, $6600 \mathrm{ft}, 29$ Aug 1978, Neese and England 6648. Emery Co.: I-70, ca. 16 km e . of Fremont Jct., 10 Sep 1983, Stutz 9153. Garfield Co.: 1 km e. of Henrieville, 20 Jun 1986, Stutz 94337. Kane Co.: Cottonwood Wash, ca. 12 km n . of US hwy 89, 12 Sep 1971, Atwood and Kaneko 3319. Sanpete Co.: clay hills w. side antelope valley, 10 km nw. of Sterling, 21 May 1984, Stutz 9245. Uintah Co.: ca. 18 km e. of Roosevelt, 25 May 1985, Stutz 9430. Wayne Co.: 3 km e. of Notom Exit, Hwy 24, 20 Jun 1984, Stutz 9374. WY, Carbon Co.: 3 km nw. of Baggs, 1 Sep 1984, Stutz 9330.

The varietal name is chosen to honor A. Perry Plummer who has pioneered numerous important studies of shrubs in western North America. He also discovered the only known population of Grayia brandegei growing in the Great Basin (Utah, Sanpete Co., 10 km w. of Sterling, 21 May 1984, Stutz 9245).
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